Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)	
Gulf-California Broadcast Company))) CSR-7052-N)
Petition For Waiver of Sections 76.92(f) and 76.106(a) of the Commission's Rules)	

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

Adopted: May 5, 2008 Released: May 6, 2008

By the Deputy Chief, Policy Division, Media Bureau:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. Gulf-California Broadcast Company, licensee of station KESQ-TV (ABC), Palm Springs, California ("Gulf"), filed the captioned petition seeking a waiver of the rule that precludes the deletion of programming of "significantly viewed" stations under the cable television network nonduplication and syndicated exclusivity rules. No opposition to this petition has been received. For the reasons discussed below, we deny Gulf's waiver request.

II. BACKGROUND

2. Upon the request of a local television station with exclusive rights to distribute a network or syndicated program, a cable operator generally may not carry a duplicating program broadcast by a distant station.² Under Section 76.92(f) of the Commission's rules, however, a program otherwise subject to deletion is exempt from application of the network nonduplication rules if it is "significantly viewed" in a relevant community (the "significantly viewed exception").³ The significantly viewed exception to the exclusivity rules is based on a case being established that an otherwise distant station

¹The express statutory prohibition in Section 341(b) of the Act, prevents a satellite carrier from retransmitting a significantly viewed signal to subscribers in communities in the Palm Springs DMA. 47 U.S.C. § 341(b); 47 C.F.R. § 76.54(k); see also Implementation of the Satellite Home Viewer Extension and Reauthorization Act of 2004; Implementation of Section 340 of the Communications Act, MB Docket No. 05-49, Report and Order, 20 FCC Rcd 17278, 17320 (2005) ("SHVERA Significantly Viewed Report and Order"). Because the stations at issue here may not be carried by a satellite carrier as significantly viewed into Palm Springs, Gulf's waiver request is necessary and addressed only in the context of cable carriage.

²See 47 C.F.R. §76.92; 47 C.F.R. §76.101.

³ 47 C.F.R. §76.92(f); see 47 C.F.R. §§76.5(i) and 76.54.

receives a "significant" level of over-the-air viewership in a subject community.⁴ If this viewership level is met, the station is no longer considered distant for purposes of the application of the exclusivity rules because it has established that it is viewed over the air in the subject community. A similar exception is provided in the syndicated exclusivity rules.⁵

- 3. In order to obtain a waiver of Section 76.92(f), the Commission held in *KCST-TV*, *Inc*.⁶ that petitioners would be required to demonstrate for two consecutive years that a station was no longer significantly viewed, based either on community-specific or system-specific over-the-air viewing data, following the methodology set forth in Section 76.54(b).⁷ Section 76.5(i) of the Commission's rules requires that for network stations to be considered significantly viewed, the survey results should exceed a 3 percent share of total viewing hours and a net weekly circulation of 25 percent, by at least one standard error.⁸ For independent stations (*i.e.*, non-network stations), to be considered significantly viewed, Section 76.5(i) of the Commission's rules requires that the survey results should exceed a 2 percent share of total viewing hours and a net weekly circulation of 5 percent, by at least one standard error.⁹ The Commission has found that this type of test is applicable as well for waivers of the syndicated exclusivity exemption.¹⁰
- 4. Since the Commission's decision in *KCST-TV*, the methodology required by Section 76.54(b) of the rules for a petitioner seeking an exception to the significantly viewed exception has evolved, pursuant to case law and market realities. Section 76.54(b) states, in pertinent part, that significant viewing "may be demonstrated by an independent professional audience survey of [over-the-air] television homes that covers at least two weekly periods separated by at least thirty (30) days but no more than one of which shall be a week between the months of April and September. Over time, Nielsen Media Research became the primary surveying organization through which a petitioner could obtain television surveys. Nielsen, which routinely surveys television markets to obtain television stations' viewership, conducts four-week audience surveys four time a year (*i.e.*, February, May, July and November "sweep periods"). The Bureau has found that replacing each week required under *KCST-TV* with a sweep period is acceptable and, if anything, adds to the accuracy of the audience statistics because of the increased sample size. Accordingly, a petitioner may submit the results from two sweep periods

⁴See KCST-TV, Inc., 103 FCC 2d 407 (1986).

⁵ 47 C.F.R. §76.106(a).

⁶103 FCC 2d 407 (1986).

⁷47 C.F.R. § 76.54(b).

⁸47 C.F.R. §76.5(i).

⁹ *Id*.

¹⁰See Chambers Cable of Oregon, Inc., 5 FCC Rcd 5640 (1990).

¹¹47 C.F.R. § 76.54(b). The criteria set forth in *KCST-TV* require that two separate surveys be performed pursuant to Section 76.54(b) in consecutive years. The provisions of Section 76.54(b) therefore apply to each year's survey. Because we require independent surveys, it should be noted that these types of surveys cannot be done by the affected television station, cable system or satellite operator.

¹²Previously, there was at least one additional independent research firm, VideoProbeIndex, Inc., that conducted audience surveys for this purpose.

¹³Although, in general, petitioners are prohibited from using two surveys between April and September (*i.e.*, May or July sweeps), we have not ruled out a petitioner providing all sweeps in a year where more than two are submitted. *See WTNH Broadcasting, Inc. and K-W TV, Inc.*, 16 FCC Rcd 6781, 6784 (2001), where the Bureau did (continued...)

in each year. For use in exclusivity waivers, a petitioner may purchase survey data from Nielsen on either a community-specific or system-specific basis.¹⁴ If a petitioner is purchasing survey data on a system-specific basis where two or more communities are involved, the percent of diaries from each community surveyed must be approximately the same as the percentage of the total population for each community served by the cable system. ¹⁵ In order to produce the data required for exclusivity waivers, Nielsen re-tabulates the over-the-air data that it collects for its routine audience sweep periods, selecting in-tab diaries from its database from the area served by a cable system or an individual cable community. 16 It should be noted that, despite the fact that a petitioner is purchasing a re-tabulation of data that has already been collected, it is still obligated to notify interested parties prior to the purchase of such data, pursuant to the requirements set forth in Section 76.54(c) of the Commission's rules. ¹⁷ Such notice should indicate the surveying organization, the methodology used to calculate the viewing shares (e.g., a description of the process used to re-tabulate the information in an existing database), the manner in which the communities (and/or zip codes) were selected, and the survey periods used. 18 Notification to interested parties before the purchase of Nielsen data allows a petitioner to correct any errors or clarify issues related to the methodology before the data are purchased and the petition is actually filed and, perhaps, avoid the filing of oppositions. Finally, we note that the manner in which surveys based on sweep periods are averaged, remains the same as for weekly surveys. 19 A petitioner may therefore submit

(...continued from previous page)

not reject the petition because of the inclusion of both May and July data, but only concluded that, in such a case, it would be necessary to provide individual survey period results so that we could determine the effect of the third and fourth sweep periods.

¹⁴It should be noted that Nielsen identifies individual communities by zip codes, a process that is equivalent to (or represents) the area served.

¹⁵47 C.F.R. § 76.54(b). Proportionality based on population demonstrates that more weight is given to larger communities. While there must be at least one diary from each community in each survey, there is no minimum sample size since the standard error allows us to be sure that there is a high probability that the reported result meets or falls below our criteria. Because Nielsen is able to weight its sampling, they can provide such proportionality.

¹⁶In-tab diaries are the number of diaries that are included in the tabulation of audience shares. Of the returned diaries, some are discarded after editing as unusable. Thus, in-tab diaries are the number of diaries used in the sample to calculate the audience statistics. It should be noted that we expect petitioners who commission such data to include, along with the survey data itself, a description of the procedures used to retabulate the data, which data base it is using, what communities (or zip codes) are covered, the station(s) surveyed, and time periods covered. Because Nielsen routinely provides this information in a cover letter along with its survey data, it is most helpful if this letter is included. That way there is no doubt that the data submitted was provided by Nielsen. *See e.g., Radio Perry, Inc.*, 11 FCC Rcd 10564, 10568-9 (1996); *Gulf-California Broadcast Company*, 21 FCC Rcd 3476, 3479-80 (2006). We further suggest that the petitioner make it clear that the data they are submitting, along with the description of methodology, are as agreed on between the petitioner and Nielsen.

¹⁷47 C.F.R. § 76.54(c). Section 76.54(c) states that "[n]otice of a survey to be made pursuant to paragraph (b) of this section shall be served on all licensees or permittees of television broadcast stations within whose predicted Grade B contour the cable community or communities are located, in whole or in part, and on all other system community units, franchisees, and franchise applicants in the cable community or communities at least (30) days prior to the initial survey period."

 $^{^{18}}Id.$

¹⁹Section 76.54(b) states that "[i]f two surveys are taken, they shall include samples sufficient to assure that the combined surveys result in an average figure at least one standard error above the required viewing levels. If surveys are taken for more than 2-weekly periods in any 12 months, all such surveys must result in an average figure (continued...)

the average of the two sweep periods for each year. If, however, a petitioner submits more than two sweep periods, in addition to the average or combined audience shares for the year, it must also include the separate sweep data for each individual sweep period used. This ensures that the reported audience results data are not skewed by the choice of sweep periods.

5. Gulf seeks a waiver of the significantly viewed exception so that it may enforce its network nonduplication and syndicated exclusivity rights against Los Angeles television stations KTTV-TV; KTLA-TV; and KCOP-TV ("L.A. Stations") in various communities within the Palm Springs, California DMA served by Time Warner and USA Companies cable systems. All of these L.A. Stations are considered to be significantly viewed in the portion of Riverside County, California, designated "Riverside Central," where the communities at issue are located.

III. DISCUSSION

demonstrate that the L.A. Stations' signals do not effectively reach into the Palm Springs DMA, but recent audience survey data demonstrates that the L.A. Stations are no longer significantly viewed in the communities of Cathedral City, Coachella, Desert Hot Springs, Indio, Mecca, Palm Desert, and Palm Springs, California.²² Gulf points out that for the communities of Indian Wells, La Quinta, Rancho Mirage, and Thousand Palms, California, there were insufficient diary samples to measure the audience for the L.A. Stations and it therefore does not seek a waiver for these communities, although it does provide Nielsen information from each community.²³ For the communities where there was sufficient survey data, Gulf submits "community-specific" Nielsen Media Research data to demonstrate that the L.A. Stations are no longer significantly viewed in the specified communities.²⁴ The submitted audience statistics are the result of three separate community-specific re-tabulations of Nielsen's audience data based on noncable/non-ADS homes located in the zip codes comprising each of the communities surveyed.²⁵ The submitted data are averages for three four-week audience sweep periods in each of two

^{(...}continued from previous page) at least one standard error above the required viewing level."

²⁰Petition at 3. Gulf states that Time Warner serves the communities of Cathedral City, Coachella, Desert Hot Springs, Indio, Mecca, Palm Desert, Palm Springs, Indian Wells, La Quinta, Rancho Mirage, and Thousand Palms, California; and USA Companies serves the community of Mecca, California.

 $^{^{21}}$ *Id*.

²²Id. at 4 and Exhibit B.

²³Id. We note that Gulf provided audience statistics for three separate zip code groupings – Palm Springs, North Palm Springs, and Palm Springs Total. Our evaluation indicates that the zip codes in the Palm Springs Total group are simply the ones for the other two groups combined. *See* Petition at Exhibit C. Moreover, while Gulf indicates that it was told that North Palm Springs was a separate community, some of that area is, in fact, part of the incorporated community of Palm Springs. Gulf does not seek a waiver for North Palm Springs, but it did not withdraw its request for Palm Springs Total. However, because no showing of proportionality is included, the reported audience for Palm Springs would not be acceptable.

²⁴*Id.* at Exhibit C. The petitioner also includes system-specific audience survey results although it does not appear that Gulf seeks a determination of significantly viewed status on that basis. These data would not be acceptable because no information has been provided to show that the communities included in each system are proportionally represented in the sample.

 $^{^{25}}Id.$

years. The first year's survey audience estimates were based on February 2004, July 2004, and November 2004 audience sweep data and the second survey year's estimates were based on February 2005, July 2005, and November 2005 data.

- Section 76.54(b) provides that stations seeking to establish significantly viewed status 7. may provide survey data "of noncable television homes that cover at least two weekly periods separated by at least thirty (30) days, but no more than one of which shall be a week between the months of April and September."²⁶ Over time, petitioners began to substitute one Nielsen audience sweep period, consisting of data collected over a four-week period, for each week. This generally permitted petitioners to obtain a sufficient sample for such a showing. Moreover, we noted in Radio Perry, Inc., that providing average audience statistics based on 12 weeks, rather than two sweep periods or eight weeks, would add to the accuracy of the statistics.²⁷ Also, while it is clear that Section 76.54(b) of the rules prohibits the use of both May and July sweep periods, there is no Bureau precedent prohibiting the use of both the May and July survey periods. In WTNH Broadcasting, Inc. and K-W TV, Inc., for instance, the Bureau did not reject the petition because of the inclusion of both May and July data, but only concluded that, in such a case, it would be necessary to provide individual survey period results so that we could determine the effect of the third and fourth sweep periods.²⁸ In this instance, Gulf submitted three sweep periods in each year instead of the required two. In instances where petitioners submit more than two sweep periods, we require them to submit averages for each independent sweep period in addition to an overall average of all sweep periods for each year surveyed.²⁹ We require this because the extra sweep period may skew the survey results by raising the overall average when each sweep period considered alone would not meet the requirements of KCST-TV.³⁰ Gulf's submission fails to meet these requirements. It did not submit averages for each of the three independent sweep periods submitted. Consequently, its petition will be denied. Furthermore, in this instance, the petitioner could have used three sweep periods from the November, February and May sweeps to increase the sample size and survey reliability. The choice of skipping May without submitting the separate audience sweep data raises a question about of whether the May data was not included in order to skew the survey results.
- 8. In view of the foregoing, we cannot find that Gulf has demonstrated that KTTV-TV, KTLA-TV, KCAL-TV and KCOP-TV are no longer significantly viewed in the communities because they failed to submit proper ratings samples as required by our rules and precedent. Accordingly, Gulf's petition is denied.

²⁶47 C.F.R. § 76.54(b).

²⁷11 FCC Rcd 10564 (1996).

²⁸16 FCC Rcd 16377, 16380 (2001). ("Given Nielsen's routine sampling procedures and their method for placing diaries for a four-week sweeps period, we find it reasonable that the results of two sweep periods in each of two years be provided to make the required showing instead of merely the minimum two one-week surveys. However, in this case, four sweeps periods were used for each year. Because WTNH does not provide the separate results for each sweeps period, but only an average for each year, we cannot determine the effect the third and fourth sweeps periods may have had on the overall result."). In situations such as that described in *WTNH Broadcasting* above and in the instant case herein, where Gulf skipped the May 2004 sweeps period, when more than two sweeps periods are provided that are nonconsecutive, the petitioner must provide the individual results of each sweeps period in order to get the most accurate and reliable viewership showing.

²⁹See WTNH Broadcasting, 16 FCC Rcd at 6784.

³⁰See KCST-TV, 103 FCC 2d 407 (1986).

IV. ORDERING CLAUSES

- 9. Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED**, that the petition filed by Gulf-California Broadcast Company **IS DENIED**.
- 10. This action is taken pursuant to authority delegated under Section 0.283 of the Commission's rules.³¹

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Steven A. Broeckaert Deputy Chief, Policy Division, Media Bureau

6

³¹47 C.F.R. §0.283.